

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

The state of the s				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,440	12/15/2003	Steven Tischer	030516 (BLL-0145)	3425
36192 CANTOR COL	7590 05/18/200 LBURN LLP - BELLS		EXAM	INER
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		NEWAY, S.	AMUEL G
BLOOMFIELI), CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summary	10/736,440	TISCHER, STEVEN		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Samuel G. Neway	2626 correspondence address		
Period fo					
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	NN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	ecember 2003.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tre: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intoniano Comenza	ov /PTO 412)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is responsive to the Application filed on 15 December 2003.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph [0027], "that a user of cell phone 24 as set up a text-to-speech service" is believed to be a typographical error for 'that a user of cell phone 24 <u>has</u> set up a text-to-speech service'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 4, 7, and 10 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker et al (USPGPub 2001/0047260).

Claim 1:

Walker discloses a system for generating a collection of speech generation commands associated with computer readable information, comprising:

a first computer (items 18, 24 and 22a, Fig. 2) configured to receive the computer readable information and to partition the computer readable information into at least first and second portions of computer readable information, the first computer

further configured to generate a first collection of speech generation commands based on the first portion of computer readable information ("dividing the requested text from voice application 16 into a plurality of text segments ... ", [0025], Fig. 2, item 26 and related text);

and, a second computer (item 22b, Fig. 2) configured to receive the second portion of computer readable information from the first computer and to generate a second collection of speech generation commands based on the second portion of computer readable information (Fig. 2, item 22 and related text), the first computer is further configured to receive the second collection of speech generation commands from the second computer and to generate a third collection of speech generation commands based on the first and second collection of speech generating commands (Fig. 2, item 24 and related text, [0030]).

Claim 2:

Walker discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the first computer generates signals based on the third collection of speech generation commands ("Streaming buffer 24 transmits the speech segments in the proper order along with the telephony user address to voice application", [0031]).

Claim 3:

Walker discloses the system of claim 2 further comprising both a wireless communication network operatively communicating with the first computer and a cellular phone operatively communicating with the wireless communication network, wherein the signals generated by the first computer are transmitted through the wireless

communication network to the cellular phone ("Telephony user 12 may be a wired or wireless telephony user and text data sources 14 may include text data sources ... ", [0022]).

Claim 4:

Walker discloses the system of claim 3 wherein the signals correspond to auditory speech, the cellular phone generating auditory speech based on the received signals ("Communication system 10 is a voice portal platform for enabling a telephony user 12 to access written text such as email, news, weather conditions, sport scores, stock quotes, and other information from text data sources 14 ", [0021]).

Claim 7:

Walker discloses a method for generating a collection of speech generation commands associated with computer readable information, comprising:

partitioning the computer readable information into at least first and second portions of computer readable information ("dividing the requested text from voice application 16 into a plurality of text segments", [0025], Fig. 2, item 26 and related text);

generating a first collection of speech generation commands based on the first portion of computer readable information in a first computer; and, generating a second collection of speech generation commands based on the second portion of computer readable information in a second computer ("TTS engine 22a converts the first text segment into a first speech segment and associates sequence identifier #1 with the first speech segment, TTS engine 22b converts the second text segment into a second speech segment", [0028], Fig. 2 and related text).

Claim 10:

Walker discloses the method of claim 7 further comprising generating a third collection of speech generation commands in the first computer based on the first and second collections of speech generation commands (Fig. 2, item 24 and related text, [0030]).

Claim 11:

Walker discloses the method of claim 7 further comprising: generating a signal based on the first and second collections of speech generation commands corresponding to auditory speech (Fig. 2, item 24 and related text, [0030]); and,

transmitting the signal through a wireless communication network to a cellular phone ("Telephony user 12 may be a wired or wireless telephony user and text data sources 14 may include text data sources ... ", [0022]).

Claim 12:

Walker discloses the method of claim 11 further comprising generating auditory speech in the cellular phone directly based on the signal (Fig. 2, item 12 and related text, [0030]).

Claim 13:

Walker discloses the method of claim 7 further comprising: generating a signal corresponding to the first and second collections of speech generation commands (Fig. 2, item 24 and related text, [0030]); and, transmitting the signal through a wireless communication network to a cellular phone ("Telephony user 12 may be a wired or

Application/Control Number: 10/736,440 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

wireless telephony user and text data sources 14 may include text data sources ... ", [0022]).

Claim 15:

Claim 15 is similar in scope and content to claim 7 and is rejected with the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 6, 8 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (USPGPub 2001/0047260) in view of Walker (Mark) (USPN 6,510,413) referred as Mark hereinafter.

Claim 5:

Walker discloses the system of claim 3, but Walker does not explicitly disclose a voice file containing a plurality of speech samples from a predetermined person.

Mark discloses a similar text to speech generation system where voice files are used to store speech samples ("Another known method of generating an audible signal is through the concatenation of small portions of pre-recorded digital audio. These digital audio units are typically obtained by recording utterances from a human speaker.", col. 1, lines 51-55).

Mark also discloses that these voice files could reside in cell phones ("The intermediate ... representation is audibilized by clients 202", col. 4, lines 6-7, and Fig. 2, item 202 and related text)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a voice file in Walker's cell phone because voice files are well known methods in the speech synthesis art (Mark, "Another known method of generating an audible signal is through the concatenation of small portions of pre-recorded digital audio. These digital audio units are typically obtained by recording utterances from a human speaker.", col. 1, lines 51-55).

Claim 6:

Walker discloses the system of claim 1, but Walker does not explicitly disclose a voice file containing a plurality of speech samples from a predetermined person.

Mark discloses a similar text to speech generation system where voice files are used to store speech samples ("Another known method of generating an audible signal is through the concatenation of small portions of pre-recorded digital audio. These digital audio units are typically obtained by recording utterances from a human speaker.", col. 1, lines 51-55).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a voice file in Walker's computers because voice files are well known methods in the speech synthesis art (Mark, "Another known method of generating an audible signal is through the concatenation of small portions of pre-

Application/Control Number: 10/736,440 Page 8

Art Unit: 2626

recorded digital audio. These digital audio units are typically obtained by recording utterances from a human speaker.", col. 1, lines 51-55).

Claims 8 - 9:

Walker and Mark disclose the method of claim 7 wherein the first computer includes a memory storing a voice file, the voice file having a plurality of speech generation commands associated with speech samples of a predetermined person (see claim 6).

Mark further discloses the acoustic elements in the voice file being phonemes and multi-phonemes as claimed in the instant claim ("Acoustic units may represent phonemes, diphones, triphones ... ", col. 5, lines 42-44).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use phonemes and multi-phonemes (diphones, triphones) because the "symbols representing acoustic units produced by the dictionary and letter-to-sound rules typically correspond to phonemes or syllables in a particular language." (Mark, col. 1, lines 30-34).

Claim 14:

Claim 14 is similar in scope and content to claim 5 and is rejected with the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stephens, Jr. (USPN 6,557,026) discloses a system for receiving information from an information network in audio format using distributed text-to-speech processing.

Gupta et al (USPN 6,516,207) discloses a method in which the speech synthesis function is distributed between two components that communicate with one another over a transmission facility.

Wu et al (USPGPub 2003/0061048) discloses a method of converting text to speech in a communication device by providing a code table containing coded speech parameters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Neway whose telephone number is 571-270-1058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SN

50

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | TISCHER, STEVEN | Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2001/0047260	11-2001	Walker et al.	704/260
*	В	US-6,510,413	01-2003	Walker, Mark R.	704/258
*	С	US-2003/0061048	03-2003	Wu et al.	704/260
*	D	US-6,516,207	02-2003	Gupta et al.	455/563
*	E	US-6,557,026	04-2003	Stephens, Jr., James H.	709/203
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-		1	
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					•
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т				C 111	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.